
CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS COMMITTEE
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 2007
NASHUA HIGH SCHOOL NORTH LECTURE HALL
6:30 PM

A meeting of the Construction Projects Committee was held at Nashua High North on **Monday, February 5, 2007**. Alderman McCarthy called the meeting to order at **6:40 p.m.**

Present: Alderman Bolton, Alderman McCarthy, Alderman Tabacsko, Mr. Dowd, Mrs. Shaw, Mrs. Kwan

Also Present: Alderman Flynn, Alderman Deane, Mr. Vaughan, Mr. Mealey

Review of Lincoln Brook Cost Estimate

Mr. Mealey

Presented Lincoln Brook Options Cost Estimates prepared on January 25, 2007. This was based on the presentation by Paul Hayner offering various scenarios for Lincoln Brook. Handout outlines the 5 scenario options.

Alderman McCarthy

I think we were waiting for a cost update on the Option 1.

Mr. Mealey

It sounded like that was the preferred option.

Alderman McCarthy

Do we know what we have to do to proceed with that option?

Mr. Mealey

We have to approve it and we can get the work going.

MR. DOWD MOVED TO APPROVE MOVING FORWARD WITH OPTION #1, "NO BUILD SCENARIO" AT A COST OF \$59,500.

SO VOTED.

Approval of Invoices

Mr. Mealey

All of this work has been previously approved and completed. The work not invoiced yet includes security cameras and signage for Nashua North (estimated at \$53,000).

ALDERMAN TABACSKO MOVED TO APPROVE INVOICES TOTTALLING \$19,177.10 AS PRESENTED.

SO VOTED.

Alderman McCarthy

When we look at the financials, we have approximately \$2,695,353 left in the budget. That is subject to the approximately \$53,000 expenses that were described a little while ago, plus the real cost of the Lincoln Brook

option #1 which is estimated at \$59,500. Are there any other expenses that we foresee to come out of the remaining proceeds at this time?

Mr. Mealey

Not that I'm aware of.

Alderman McCarthy

It looks like we need to leave \$75,000 for the Lincoln Brook improvements in case it comes in higher than expected. If that's the case, I would ask for a motion to transfer \$2,572,353 back to Aldermen for re-assignment to another project.

**ALDERMAN TABACSKO MOVED TO RECOMMEND TO THE JSSBC TO TRANSFER
\$2,572,353 BACK TO THE ALDERMEN FOR RE-ASSIGNMENT TO ANOTHER PROJECT.**

SO VOTED.

Alderman Deane

Is there any new information relating to the field issues?

Alderman Bolton

No.

Alderman Deane

So, what's the process? Do we just sit and wait for them come to us?

Alderman McCarthy

I don't remember where we are in the litigation calendar. Perhaps Alderman Bolton knows.

Alderman Bolton

The litigation with Gilbane is bringing suit against the landscaping sub contractor. That is an ongoing process. We had one mediation session, which did not go well. Nothing approaching an agreement was reached.

Alderman McCarthy

If we are unsuccessful in litigation, we will not have the funds to do the reconstruction of the fields out of pocket. However, that's not the next thing I'd do anyway.

Alderman Bolton

Can I caution that we not delve into this in public session greatly? It has every potential of impacting negatively the results we're seeking.

Mrs. Kwan

Are we setting funds aside for possible future litigation?

Alderman McCarthy

No, we're not.

Mrs. Kwan

So we would have to go to the Board of Aldermen and ask for funds to be set aside?

Alderman McCarthy

At the moment, litigation is being conducted by Gilbane.

Unidentified Speaker

The funding invoices are approved for \$19,177... that was the motion. Why is the \$13,500 for the tree service excluded from that number?

Mr. Mealey

The \$19,177 is just a total for the engineering and the furniture equipment vendors. The other amounts are miscellaneous items, such as the tree services and other items. So the total is the \$32,677 plus the \$19,177.

Alderman McCarthy

We have a motion to correct.

ALDERMAN TABACSKO MOVED TO AMEND "INVOICE APPROVAL" MOTION BY CHANGING THE AMOUNT FROM \$19,177.10 TO \$32,677.10.

SO VOTED.

Alderman Tabacsko moved to adjourn. **So voted at 6:55 p.m.**

Submitted by Jacki Waters